

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/628,724	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHATZBERG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> San-ming Hui	<b>Art Unit</b> 1617	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) San-ming Hui.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Kenneth Weber, attorney of record.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 February 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**


Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Mr. Weber informed the Examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed August 11, 2005*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 2/21/06  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)